

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
 MARK A. PERRY (*pro hac vice*)  
 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.  
 Washington, DC 20036-5306  
 Telephone: 202.955.8500  
 mperry@gibsondunn.com

RIMINI STREET, INC.  
 DANIEL B. WINSLOW (*pro hac vice*)  
 6601 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 300  
 Pleasanton, CA 94566  
 Telephone: 925.264.7736  
 dwinslow@riministreet.com

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
 JEFFREY T. THOMAS (*pro hac vice*)  
 BLAINE H. EVANSON (*pro hac vice*)  
 JOSEPH A. GORMAN (*pro hac vice*)  
 CASEY J. MCCracken (*pro hac vice*)  
 3161 Michelson Drive  
 Irvine, CA 92612-4412  
 Telephone: 949.451.3800  
 jtthomas@gibsondunn.com  
 bevanson@gibsondunn.com  
 jgorman@gibsondunn.com  
 cmccracken@gibsondunn.com

RIMINI STREET, INC.  
 JOHN P. REILLY (*pro hac vice*)  
 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 500  
 Las Vegas, NV 89169  
 Telephone: 336.908.6961  
 jreilly@riministreet.com

HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS PLLC  
 W. WEST ALLEN (Nevada Bar No. 5566)  
 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1000  
 Las Vegas, NV 89169  
 Telephone: 702.667.4843  
 wwa@h2law.com

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
 SAMUEL LIVERSIDGE (*pro hac vice*)  
 ERIC D. VANDEVELDE (*pro hac vice*)  
 333 South Grand Avenue  
 Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197  
 Telephone: 213.229.7000  
 sliversidge@gibsondunn.com  
 evandeveld@ibsondunn.com

*Attorneys for Defendant  
 Rimini Street, Inc.*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

ORACLE USA, INC., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

RIMINI STREET, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-VCF

**RIMINI'S MOTION TO SEAL  
 PORTIONS OF REPLY ON ITS  
 EMERGENCY MOTION UNDER  
 LOCAL RULE 7-4 TO STRIKE  
 ORACLE'S IMPROPER EXPERT  
 REPORT SUPPLEMENT AND  
 OPPOSITION TO ORACLE'S  
 CROSS-MOTION TO REOPEN  
 DISCOVERY FOR THE LIMITED  
 PURPOSE OF DEPOSING HEARING  
 WITNESSES**

**NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION**

Pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order governing confidentiality of documents entered by the Court on May 10, 2010 (ECF No. 55, “Protective Order”), Rules 5.2(e)–(f) and 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and Local Rule 10-5(b), Defendant Rimini Street, Inc. (“Rimini”) respectfully requests that the Court seal portions of its Reply on its Emergency Motion Under Local Rule 7-4 to Strike Oracle’s Improper Expert Report Supplement and Opposition to Oracle’s Cross-Motion to Reopen Discovery for the Limited Purpose of Deposing Hearing Witnesses (the “Confidential Material”).

A public, redacted version of Rimini’s Reply on its Emergency Motion Under Local Rule 7-4 to Strike Oracle’s Improper Expert Report Supplement and Opposition to Oracle’s Cross-Motion to Reopen Discovery for the Limited Purpose of Deposing Hearing Witnesses (the “Reply”) was filed on August 31, 2021. An unredacted version of the Reply will subsequently be filed under seal with the Court and linked to the filing of this motion. This Motion to Seal is based on this Notice of Motion and the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities.

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

Good cause exists to seal the Confidential Material, as set forth below. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) provides broad discretion for a trial court to permit sealing of court documents for, *inter alia*, the protection of “a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c).

The Protective Order entered in this case provides that:

Counsel for any Designating Party may designate any Discovery Material as “Confidential Information” or “Highly Confidential Information – Attorneys’ Eyes Only” under the terms of this Protective Order only if such counsel in good faith believes that such Discovery Material contains such information and is subject to protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c). The designation by any Designating Party of any Discovery Material as “Confidential Information” or “Highly Confidential Information – Attorneys’ Eyes Only” shall constitute a representation that any attorney for the Designating Party reasonably believes there is a valid basis for such designation.

ECF No. 55 ¶ 2.

1 Rimini requests that the Court seal the Confidential Material because it describes or  
2 otherwise reveals information that Oracle has designated “Confidential” or “Highly  
3 Confidential” under the terms of the Protective Order. Moreover, similar material was filed  
4 under seal in connection with the Joint Pre-Hearing Order filed on August 20, 2021. By  
5 designating the information as “Confidential” or “Highly Confidential,” Oracle as the  
6 designating party has represented that the information cited is subject to protection under  
7 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c). *Id.*

8 Additionally, this Court has granted motions to file under seal similar information, both  
9 in *Rimini I* and *Rimini II*. *See, e.g.*, ECF Nos. 226, 325, 518, 904, 1107, 1228, 1261; *see also*  
10 *Rimini II*, No. 14-CV-1699-LRH-DJA (D. Nev.), ECF Nos. 127, 137, 222, 280–282, 287, 333–  
11 334, 371, 391, 434–436, 602, 625–628, 760–768, 836–849, 1122, 1191.

### 12 CONCLUSION

13 For the foregoing reasons, Rimini respectfully requests that the Court grant leave to file  
14 the Confidential Material under seal.

15  
16 Dated: August 31, 2021

17 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

18  
19 By: /s/ Eric D. Vandeveld  
Eric D. Vandeveld

20 *Attorneys for Defendant*  
21 *Rimini Street, Inc.*  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28